

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,262	09/21/2005	Iiro Hietanen	800186US	5683
Ryan Mason &	7590 11/25/200	EXAMINER		
90 Forest Ave	nue	SHINGLETON, MICHAEL B		
Locust Valley,	NY 11560		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/522,262	HIETANEN, IIRO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael B. Shingleton	2815	

	Michael B. Shingleton	2815					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1136(g). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fixed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. If NO period or reply is specified above, the maching rate of the proper SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. If NO period or reply is specified above, the machine statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. The provided state of the property of the Communication of the co							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 36-72 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 36-72 are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the correct Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seen on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal F	ate					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

6) Other: ___

Application/Control Number: 10/522,262 Page 2

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

This office action superceds and replaces the previous office action and corrects for mistakes in the previous restriction/election requirement.

Restriction is required under 35 USC 121 and 372. This application contains the following inventions which are not listed as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 36-55 and 72, drawn to a structure i.e. a substrate, imaging system or a radiation detector.

Group II, claims 56-71, drawn to a method of manufacturing a plurality of photodetectors.

Group I and Group II listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding technical features for the following reasons: The special technical features seems to be the through-substrate via filled with conducting material, but this feature is known in at least, for example, US patent publication 6,225,651 and therefore cannot be a special technical feature. Furthermore, the specific structure that results from first forming the photodetectors and then forming the through hole, i.e. via through the substrate and then folling the via with conductive material which appears to be different from forming the via first and then form the photodetectors elements is not recited in the device claims and therefore there is a lack of a single general inventive concept and accordingly lack the same or corresponding technical features.

Because the via through the substrate filled with conducting material just cannot be a "special" technical feature as this is commonly known see US patent publication 6,225,651 the application is seen as containing claims directed to more than one species or the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species A: directed to a mere substrate.

Species B: directed to an imaging system.

Species C: directed to a radiation detector.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,262

Art Unit: 2815

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect BOTH a single group and if Group II is elected then applicant is required to elect a single species from Species A-C to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a).

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention.

Species A: to which claims 36-51 seem to be directed.

Species B: to which claims 52-55 seem to be directed.

Species C: to which claim 72 seem to be directed.

Currently no claim is considered to be generic.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature seems to be the through-substrate via (through hole) filled with conducting material, but this feature is known in at least, for example, US Patent publication 6,225,651 and therefore cannot be a special technical feature. The species therefore lack a common or corresponding special technical feature and therefore do not relate to a single general inventive concept.

Should the applicant amend the elected species to be allowable over the prior art i.e. present a "special technical feature", should applicant also provide the special technical feature by amendment to the non-elected species, then the examiner will review the non-elected species to see if in fact the claims directed to the non-elected species can therefore be allowed with the elected species.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. Shingleton whose telephone number is (571) 272-1770.

Art Unit: 2815

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Parker, can be reached on (571) 272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MBS 16th day of October in the year 2008 /Michael B. Shingleton/ Michael B Shingleton Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 2815

m. B. D. Wingle